

1 JOAN C. WRIGHT, ESQ.  
Nevada State Bar No. 1042  
2 CHRIS MACKENZIE, ESQ.  
Nevada State Bar No. 5060  
3 ALLISON, MacKENZIE,  
PAVLAKIS, WRIGHT & FAGAN, LTD.  
4 402 N. Division Street  
P.O. Box 646  
5 Carson City, NV 89702  
Telephone: (775) 687-0202  
6 Facsimile: (775) 882-7918  
Email: [jwright@allisonmackenzie.com](mailto:jwright@allisonmackenzie.com)  
7 Email: [cmackenzie@allisonmackenzie.com](mailto:cmackenzie@allisonmackenzie.com)

8 Attorneys for Crisp Development, Inc.  
and Berle G. Crisp  
9  
10

11 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
12 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

13 JUDY KROSHUS, et al.,

Case No. 3:08-cv-246-LDG-RAM

14 Plaintiffs,

15 vs.

**ORDER GRANTING  
MOTION FOR DETERMINATION  
OF GOOD FAITH SETTLEMENT**

16 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
17 et al.,

18 Defendants.  
\_\_\_\_\_ /

19 ALICIA UHOUSE, et al.,

Case No. 3:08-cv-0285-LDG-RAM

20 Plaintiffs,

21 vs.

**ORDER GRANTING  
MOTION FOR DETERMINATION  
OF GOOD FAITH SETTLEMENT**

22 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF  
23 THE INTERIOR, et al.,

24 Defendants.  
\_\_\_\_\_ /  
25  
26  
27  
28

FILED	RECEIVED
ENTERED	SERVED ON
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD	
AUG 04 2011	
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
BY: _____	DEPUTY

1 BILL ADAMSON, et al.,

2 Plaintiffs,

3 vs.

4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

5 Defendant.

Case No. 3:08-cv-0621-LDG-RAM

**ORDER GRANTING  
MOTION FOR DETERMINATION  
OF GOOD FAITH SETTLEMENT**

6  
7 LARRY J. MOORE, et al.,

8 Plaintiffs,

9 vs.

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

11 Defendant.

Case No. 3:09-cv-0167-LDG-RAM

**ORDER GRANTING  
MOTION FOR DETERMINATION  
OF GOOD FAITH SETTLEMENT**

12  
13 JAMES ADGETT, et al.,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 vs.

16 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

17 Defendant.

Case No. 3:09-cv-0649-LDG-RAM

**ORDER GRANTING  
MOTION FOR DETERMINATION  
OF GOOD FAITH SETTLEMENT**

18  
19 BILL ADAMSON, et al.,

20 Plaintiffs,

21 vs.

22 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

23 Defendant.

Case No. 3:09-cv-0715-LDG-RAM

**ORDER GRANTING  
MOTION FOR DETERMINATION  
OF GOOD FAITH SETTLEMENT**

24  
25 JAMES AMES, et al.,

26 Plaintiffs,

27 vs.

28 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:10-cv-0463-LDG-RAM

**ORDER GRANTING  
MOTION FOR DETERMINATION  
OF GOOD FAITH SETTLEMENT**

**ORDER GRANTING  
MOTION FOR GOOD FAITH SETTLEMENT DETERMINATION**

Based on Motion made by Specially Appearing Parties, CRISP DEVELOPMENT, INC. ("CDI") and BERLE G. CRISP, individually ("BERLE") (collectively "CRISP"), by and through their attorneys, ALLISON, MacKENZIE, PAVLAKIS, WRIGHT & FAGAN, LTD., upon the papers and pleadings on file in this matter, and the hearing held thereon, the Court now enters its findings of facts, conclusions of law and judgment as follows:

1. This case arises from a breach of the Truckee Canal in Lyon County, Nevada on January 5, 2008.

2. A Complaint for Damages was filed against numerous defendants.

3. Plaintiffs are owners of residential property situated in Fernley, Nevada.

4. A Complaint for Damages was also filed against BERLE on alter ego grounds.

5. Plaintiffs claim that they suffered damages resulting from the flood waters that came from the breach of the Truckee Canal on January 5, 2008.

6. Plaintiffs allege that the January 5, 2008 flood in Fernley was due to the inadequate maintenance and operation of the Canal, among other reasons. They further assert that the flood consequences were "exacerbated" by the City of Fernley and the County of Lyon because of "intentional indifference" to requiring the contractors and builders of the residential subdivision to construct infrastructure that would minimize the damage caused by flooding in the event the floodwaters entered the subdivision where Plaintiffs' homes were located.

7. Plaintiffs also assert that the flood consequences were exacerbated by the "errors and omissions" in constructing various elements, including Rolling Meadows subdivision improvements, houses, Jenny's Lane crossing and the "Knuckle" at Wrangler Road and Wagon Wheel, committed by the Defendants, as well as allegations of misrepresentations made on the sale of Rolling Meadows properties.

8. CRISP has sued TRUCKEE-CARSON IRRIGATION DISTRICT, the BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF TRUCKEE-CARSON IRRIGATION DISTRICT, STEVE

1 CAMPOY, individually and dba STEVE CAMPOY GENERAL CONTRACTING, GREATER  
2 NEVADA BUILDERS, the CITY OF FERNLEY, the COUNTY OF LYON, KING  
3 CONSTRUCTION, INC., CARLSON TECHNICAL, INC., and WILLIAM CARLSON,  
4 individually, for indemnity.

5 9. The CITY OF FERNLEY and COUNTY OF LYON, STEVE CAMPOY and  
6 GREATER NEVADA BUILDERS have sued CRISP for indemnity. STEVE CAMPOY and  
7 GREATER NEVADA BUILDERS have named various third-party defendants on the theories of  
8 negligence, contribution and indemnity.

9 10. It is alleged that CDI was the developer and general contractor of Rolling  
10 Meadows, involved in the Jenny's Lane crossing and the "Knuckle" at Wrangler Road and Wagon  
11 Wheel and that BERLE is the alter ego of CDI. It is also alleged that misrepresentations were made  
12 when the Rolling Meadows properties were sold.

13 11. CDI claims it never had any active role as the developer or general contractor  
14 of Rolling Meadows or the other improvements and instead was simply the lender. It is agreed that  
15 CDI financed the project improvements, including homes, but sold no homes. However, Plaintiffs  
16 allege, despite CDI's position, that CDI did more. There is a dispute as to whether CDI has any  
17 liability for Plaintiffs' damages.

18 12. BERLE was the sole shareholder, director and officer of CDI. Nonetheless,  
19 BERLE asserts there is no proof to support the necessary elements for a claim of alter ego. There  
20 is a dispute as to whether the corporate veil of CDI should be pierced to impose liability on BERLE.

21 13. An amicable global settlement of this matter has been reached among the  
22 Plaintiffs and most parties to this case.

23 14. The Settlement Agreement essentially provides that CRISP shall collectively  
24 pay the sum of \$558,000.00 in exchange for a complete release from the instant action and all  
25 pending actions as listed in the Motion.

26 15. Neither CDI nor BERLE had insurance that would provide coverage for any  
27 of the pending actions.

28 16. CDI has ceased all business and thus has no regular source of income from

1 which to make any future payments. Without a source of income, CDI's defense of these pending  
2 actions dissipates the limited pool of funds available for settlement of these lawsuits.

3 17. The amount paid by CRISP will be allocated to the *Rondy* class pursuant to  
4 calculations to which CRISP was not in privy, but the sums paid is in consideration for the global  
5 settlement of all claims.

6 18. CRISP's actions are as a lender and as such are more passive than most other  
7 Defendants, thus undermining any claims for indemnity.

8 19. There is no evidence or allegation that this settlement is fraudulently,  
9 collusively or tortiously aimed at injuring non-settling Defendants.

10 20. The Court grants approval of the Global Settlement Agreement

11 21. The Court finds that the settlement agreement is made in good faith, and

12 *nam* 22. The matter is dismissed with prejudice as to all claims against CRISP  
13 DEVELOPMENT, INC. and BERLE G. CRISP.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 DATED: August 4, 2011.

16   
17 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

18  
19 Respectfully submitted by:

20 JOAN C. WRIGHT, ESQ.  
21 CHRIS MacKENZIE, ESQ.  
22 ALLISON, MacKENZIE, PAVLAKIS,  
23 WRIGHT & FAGAN, LTD.  
24 402 North Division Street  
25 P.O. Box 646  
26 Carson City, NV 89702  
27  
28